

References

- Billman, J. (2008a). They don't have to win nationals: The benefits of forensics to parents, communities, and society. *Rostrum*, 82(9), 97-99.
- Billman, J. (2008b). You don't have to win nationals: Cognitive, professional, and interpersonal benefits of forensics to student participants. *Rostrum*, 82(7), 35-37.
- Billman, J. (2008c). Your school doesn't have to win nationals: Pedagogical and practical benefits of forensics to educators and administrators. *Rostrum*, 82(8), 23-25.
- Billman, J. (2009). Powerful Preparation in the NJFL. *NJFL Newsletter*, 1(3), n.p.
- Briscoe, Shawn F. (May 2009). Forensics: Enhancing civic literacy and democracy. *Principal Leadership*, 9(9), 44-49.
- Carr, J.E. (2002). A better investment not found on Wall Street. *Rostrum*, 76(5), 25-26.
- Carroll, R. C. (2007, February). Forensics participation as gifted and talented education. *Rostrum*, 81(6), 31, 34-36.
- Crawford, Rob. (2003). In Defense of Competitive Speech. *Rostrum*, 78(3), 19-21.
- Luong, M. (2000). Forensics and college admissions. *Rostrum*, 75(3), 5-6.
- Minch, K. (2006). *The Value of Speech, Debate, and Theatre Activities: Making the Case for Forensics*. Indianapolis, IN: National Federation of State High School Associations.
- National Forensic League. (2009). *Enriching Education*. Ripon, WI: Author.
- National Forensic League. (2010a). *Advocating Debate and Speech (Forensic) Education*. Ripon, WI: Author.
- National Forensic League. (2010b). *Giving Youth A Voice*. Ripon, WI: Author.
- National Forensic League. (2011). *Scholastic Benefits of Speech and Debate Activities*. Ripon, WI: Author.
- Peters, T. L. (2009). An investigation into the relationship between participation in competitive forensics and standardized test scores. *Rostrum*, 84(2), 37-51.
- Wade, J.M. & Zorwick, L. W. (2009). Assigned advocacy, argumentation, and debate in high school classrooms. *Rostrum*, 83(8), 13-15.

